

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/890,167	PAIVA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	David H Kruse	1638	

**All Participants:**

(1) David H Kruse.

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert E. Hanson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 February 2005

**Time:** 10:00 AM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

13, 14, 30, 40, 58, 61, 63, 64, 65 and 66

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)